Docket No.: 3782-0294PUS1

REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for total consideration given the present application. Claims 1-36 were pending prior to the final Office Action of which claims 25-36 have been withdrawn from further consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. Claim 1 has been amended and claim 5 has been cancelled through this Reply. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the rejected claims in light of the remarks presented herein, and earnestly seek timely allowance of claims 1-4 and 6-24.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Applicants thank the Examiner for granting a Personal Interview with the Applicants' representative on December 18, 2007. During the Interview, the Examiner agreed that an amendment to independent claim 1 to include the subject matter of claim 5 would overcome the outstanding prior art rejection.

<u>Amendment</u>

Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 1 has been amended as agreed upon during the Interview. It is respectfully submitted, upon review, one would conclude that the amendments made to claim 1 does not add any new matter to the application and does not raise any new issue.

35 U.S.C. §102/103- Johnson/Nagai

Claims 1, 3, 6, 10-12, and 14-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Johnson et al. (USPN 6,104,388) ("Johnson"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Independent claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claim 5 which recites, *inter alia*, "wherein the sensor arrangement comprises a sheet substrate with a closure area and an electrode area formed thereon, the substrate being bent such that the closure area and the electrode area form said closure element and said electrode element, respectively." The Examiner previously rejected claim 5 based on Johnson and Nagai.

After Final Office Action of October 17, 2007

However, as acknowledged by the Examiner during the Interview on December 18, 2007, none

of the cited prior art references, alone or in combination, teaches or suggests the above-identified

claim feature. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested to withdraw the anticipation and/or

obviousness rejection of independent claim 1 based on Johnson and Nagai.

Claims 3, 6, 10-12, and 14-23 are at least allowable by virtue of their dependency on

corresponding independent claims.

35 U.S.C. § 103 REJECTION - Johnson

Claim 24 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over

Johnson. Applicants respectfully traverse. Claim 24 depends from claim 1. Therefore, for at

least the reasons stated with respect to claim 1, claim 24 is also distinguishable from Johnson.

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over

Johnson in view of Nagai et al. (USPN 6,104,388 of record)("Nagai"). Claim 5 has been

cancelled through this Reply rendering the rejection as moot.

Claims 2, 4, 7-9, and 13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being

unpatentable over Johnson in view of Rockwell et al. (USPN 5,357,062)("Rockwell"). These

claims depend from claim 1, directly or indirectly. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that

claims 2, 4, 7-9, and 13 are distinguishable from the combination of Johnson and Rockwell at

least for the reasons stated with claim 1 above.

Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, it is believed that claims 1-4 and 6-24 are allowable.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Ali M. Imam Reg. No. 58,755 at

the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

8

MKM/AMI/bms

Docket No.: 3782-0294PUS1

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: December 20, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Michael K. Mutter

Registration No.: 29,680

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant